Sustainability Toolkit: Guidance for Reviewing Guidance Tools

Thank you for reviewing a contribution to the Sustainability Toolkit. This document will help to guide you through the review process. If you have any questions, please email Rhythima Shinde at rhythima.shinde@klhsustainability.com or Wendy Attwell at w.attwell@epc.ac.uk

Reviewer expectations

What you can expect as a Sustainability Toolkit content reviewer:

- That we will treat you as the professional and subject matter expert that you are.
- That we will not ask you to review an unreasonable amount of content (our expectation is that this will not exceed two or three pieces of content per year).
- That we will be clear about your assignments and deadlines.
- That, once you have completed your first review assignment, we will recognise your academic citizenship by adding your bio and photo to our Contributors page.

What we expect from you:

- That you will act professionally within this role and bring your expertise to the table when reviewing content.
- That you will follow the applicable reviewer guidance document(s).
- That you will ask us for support if you feel that the content of the review assignment lies outside of your expertise.
- That you will abide by any applicable rules, regulations or laws, including those regarding privacy and data protection.
- That you will maintain confidentiality about the content of the review assignment until it is published.
- That you will work to agreed deadlines once you have accepted a review assignment.

Guidance for reviewers

This toolkit provides resources and guidance for the teaching of sustainability in engineering.

- After each section, questions are posed for you to consider in relation to the contribution.

- On the contribution, please use "track changes" and comments to make suggestions related to each question (as required) that can guide the author in revisions.

- Aim to summarise your thoughts on the overall contribution and how much (if any) further work it needs from the author.

- Return the contribution in Word (.doc or .docx) or equivalent format to Rhythima Shinde at rhythima.shinde@klhsustainability.com

- If you prefer not to be contacted by the author to discuss your review, please let us know in advance.

Guidance tools

Guidance articles are resources that users can access to learn how to do something. These are intended to provide expertise in sustainability and how best to embed learning into teaching practice, or how to assess for skills and competencies in sustainability. While knowledge articles focus on "what", guidance articles should focus on "how."

Guidance articles aim to help situate our teaching tools, particularly our case studies, in an educational context and to signpost to additional research and resources on sustainability.

Guidance articles are meant to be overviews that a reader with no prior knowledge of sustainability could refer to in order to develop a baseline understanding and learn where to look for additional information.

Before you begin to review, you should look at existing guidance articles that form a part of the EPC's Sustainability Toolkit, since we hope that contributions will be fairly consistent in length, style, tone and approach.

Guidance articles should be approximately 500-1000 words (although they can be more in depth if necessary) and reference relevant online open-source resources, especially existing resources within the Sustainability Toolkit.

Guidance articles should use Harvard referencing.

Overview

- These articles are meant to be able to stand on their own as a piece of guidance on a topic; they are also meant to work alongside the other guidance articles so that taken together they form a sort of sustainability education handbook.

  o Does the article both make sense as a single piece of guidance as well as fit in with the rest of the articles?

Purpose

- Each article should inform, explain, and provide guidance on the topics. Put yourself in the perspective of an engineering educator who is new to sustainability.

  o Can you understand the information presented and would it help you?

  o Would someone new to sustainability understand the information presented and would it help them?

Content

- The content of the article should be organised and well developed. That is, it should be presented in a logical way and thoroughly explained.

  o Is the explanation clear, logically structured, and accurate?

  o Does the author need to expand on any ideas or reorganise them to make them clearer?

References and Resources

- Where additional explanation could be given, it might point to other resources, and where information is presented from another source, it needs to be properly referenced. Authors should especially point to other existing resources in the Toolkit.

  o Are sources cited using Harvard referencing?

  o Are open resources or links to other toolkit materials included?

  o What additional resources or references should the author include?

Format

- Does the article follow this format?:

  o Premise;

  o Body of article, divided up into headed sections as necessary;

  o Conclusion (optional);

  o References: use Harvard referencing;

  o Resources (online and open source).

Suggestions

- Provide any further suggestions or guidance that you think would help the author(s) improve the article.

  o Have you included comments and/or tracked changes feedback for clarity, depth or reorganisation?

  o Have you flagged up anything that's missing?

  o Have you summarised your thoughts on the overall contribution and how much (if any) further work it needs from the author?

Corrections

- If possible, aim to correct any spelling, grammar, or punctuation mistakes as you review, but remember that editing, proofreading and formatting are the next stage of the process.

Returning the reviewed article

- Return the contribution in Word (.doc or .docx) or equivalent format to Rhythima Shinde at rhythima.shinde@klhsustainability.com